arXiv:1608.00926v1 [math.AG] 2 Aug 2016

# NONNOETHERIAN COORDINATE RINGS WITH UNIQUE MAXIMAL DEPICTIONS

CHARLIE BEIL

ABSTRACT. A depiction of a nonnoetherian integral domain $R$ is a special noetherian overring which provides a framework for describing the geometry of $R$. We show that if $R$ is noetherian in codimension 1, then $R$ has a unique maximal depiction $T$. In this case, the geometric dimensions of the points of $\operatorname{Spec} R$ may be computed directly from $T$. If in addition $R$ has a normal depiction $S$, then $S$ is the unique maximal depiction of $R$.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Depictions were introduced in [B3] to provide a framework for describing the geometry of nonnoetherian integral domains with finite Krull dimension. A depiction of a nonnoetherian domain $R$ is a special finitely generated overring $S$ that is as close as possible to $R$, in a suitable geometric sense (Definition 2.1). The geometry of the maximal spectrum $\operatorname{Max} R$ is then viewed as the algebraic variety $\operatorname{Max} S$, together with a collection of algebraic sets of $\operatorname{Max} S$ which are identified as 'smeared-out' positive dimensional closed points [B2]. Depictions have played a fundamental role in understanding the algebraic and representation-theoretic properties of a class of quiver algebras called dimer algebras [B1, B4].

In general, maximal depictions need not be unique. Indeed, consider the rings

$$S = k[x, y, z] \quad \text{and} \quad R = k + xyS.$$

Then the overrings

$$S[x^{-1}] \quad \text{and} \quad S[y^{-1}]$$

are both depictions of $R$, whereas their minimal proper overring $S[x^{-1}, y^{-1}]$ is not [B3, Proposition 3.19]. Here we consider the question:

*What rings admit unique maximal depictions?*

To identify a class of such rings, we introduce the following definition.

**Definition 1.1.** We say $R$ is *noetherian in codimension 1* if $R$ admits a depiction $S$ such that each codimension 1 subvariety of $\operatorname{Max} S$ intersects the open set

$$U_{S/R} := \{\mathfrak{n} \in \operatorname{Max} S \mid R_{\mathfrak{n}\cap R} = S_{\mathfrak{n}}\}.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13C15, 14A20.
*Key words and phrases.* Non-noetherian rings, foundations of algebraic geometry.

This definition implies that for each height 1 prime $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} S$, the localization $R_{\mathfrak{q}\cap R}$ is noetherian (Lemma 3.1.3). We will show that this definition is independent of the choice of depiction $S$ (Proposition 3.14).

Our main theorem is the following.

**Theorem 1.2.** *(Proposition 3.4 and Theorems 3.8, 3.13, 3.15.) Suppose $R$ is noetherian in codimension 1. Let $S$ be any depiction of $R$, and set*

$$T := \bigcap_{\mathfrak{n}\in U_{S/R}} S_{\mathfrak{n}}.$$

*(1) $T$ is the unique maximal depiction of $R$. In particular, $T$ is independent of the choice of depiction $S$.*

*(2) Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R$. For each minimal $\mathfrak{t} \in \operatorname{Spec} T$ which lies over $\mathfrak{p}$, the geometric dimension of $\mathfrak{p}$ equals the Krull dimension of $T/\mathfrak{t}$,*

$$\operatorname{gdim} \mathfrak{p} = \dim T/\mathfrak{t}.$$

*(3) Let $\overline{S}$ be the normalization of $S$. Then*

$$S \subseteq T \subseteq \overline{S}.$$

*In particular, if $S = \overline{S}$ is normal, then $S$ is the unique maximal depiction of $R$, as well as the unique normal depiction of $R$.*

Claim (2) provides a practical way of computing the geometric dimension of a point of $\operatorname{Spec} R$.

**Example 1.3.** For $n \geq 1$, consider the algebras

$$S_n := k[x, y, xz, yz, xz^2, yz^2, \ldots, xz^{n-1}, yz^{n-1}, z^n] \quad \text{and} \quad R := k + (x, y)S_1.$$

Then each $S_n$ is a depiction of $R$. But $R$ is noetherian in codimension 1 and

$$S_1 = k[x, y, z]$$

is normal. Therefore $S_1$ is the unique maximal depiction of $R$ by Theorem 1.2.

We conclude the with two examples, one of which shows that if $R$ admits a unique maximal depiction $S$ but is not noetherian in codimension 1, then in general the geometric dimension of a point $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R$ need not equal the Krull dimension of $S/\mathfrak{q}$, for any $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} S$ over $\mathfrak{p}$ (Example 3.16).

## 2. Preliminary definitions

Let $S$ be an integral domain and a finitely generated algebra over an algebraically closed field $k$, and let $R$ be a (possibly nonnoetherian) subalgebra of $S$. Denote by $\operatorname{Max} S$, $\operatorname{Spec} S$, and $\dim S$ the maximal spectrum (or variety), prime spectrum (or affine scheme), and Krull dimension of $S$ respectively; similarly for $R$. For a subset $I \subset S$, set $\mathcal{Z}(I) := \{\mathfrak{n} \in \operatorname{Max} S \mid \mathfrak{n} \supseteq I\}$.

We will consider the following subsets of $\operatorname{Max} S$ and $\operatorname{Spec} S$,

$$U_{S/R} := \{\mathfrak{n} \in \operatorname{Max} S \mid R_{\mathfrak{n}\cap R} = S_{\mathfrak{n}}\},$$
$$\tilde{U}_{S/R} := \{\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} S \mid R_{\mathfrak{q}\cap R} = S_{\mathfrak{q}}\},$$
$$Z_{S/R} := \left\{\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} S \mid \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}) \cap U_{S/R} \neq \emptyset\right\}.$$

**Definition 2.1.** [B3, Definition 3.1]

- We say $S$ is a *depiction* of $R$ if the morphism

$$\iota_{S/R} : \operatorname{Spec} S \to \operatorname{Spec} R, \qquad \mathfrak{q} \mapsto \mathfrak{q} \cap R,$$

  is surjective, and

$$U_{S/R} = \{\mathfrak{n} \in \operatorname{Max} S \mid R_{\mathfrak{n}\cap R} \text{ is noetherian}\} \neq \emptyset.$$

- The *geometric height* of $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R$ is the minimum

$$\operatorname{ght}(\mathfrak{p}) := \min\left\{\operatorname{ht}_S(\mathfrak{q}) \mid \mathfrak{q} \in \iota_{S/R}^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}),\ S \text{ a depiction of } R\right\}.$$

  The *geometric dimension* of $\mathfrak{p}$ is

$$\operatorname{gdim} \mathfrak{p} := \dim R - \operatorname{ght}(\mathfrak{p}).$$

- A depiction $S$ of $R$ is *maximal* if $S$ is not properly contained in another depiction of $R$.

If $R$ is fixed, then we will often write $\iota_S$ for $\iota_{S/R}$.

## 3. Proof of main theorem

We begin by recalling the following useful facts from [B3].

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose $S$ is a depiction of $R$, and let $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} S$. Then*

*(1)* $\dim R = \dim S$.
*(2) The locus $U_{S/R}$ is an open dense subset of* $\operatorname{Max} S$.
*(3)* $Z_{S/R} \subseteq \tilde{U}_{S/R}$.
*(4) If $\mathfrak{q} \in \tilde{U}_{S/R}$, then*

$$\iota_S^{-1}\iota_S(\mathfrak{q}) = \{\mathfrak{q}\}.$$

*(5) If $S'$ is another depiction of $R$, then*

$$\iota_S(U_{S/R}) = \iota_{S'}(U_{S'/R}).$$

*Proof.* The claims are respectively [B3, Theorem 2.5.4; Proposition 2.4.2; Lemma 2.2; Theorem 2.5.1; Theorem 3.5]. □

**Lemma 3.2.** *Suppose $S$ and $S'$ are depictions of $R$. If $\mathfrak{q} \in Z_{S/R}$, then there is a unique prime $\mathfrak{q}' \in \operatorname{Spec} S'$ such that*

$$\mathfrak{q}' \cap R = \mathfrak{q} \cap R.$$

*Moreover,*

$$\mathfrak{q}' \in Z_{S'/R} \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \operatorname{ht}_{S'}(\mathfrak{q}') = \operatorname{ht}_S(\mathfrak{q}).$$

*Proof.* Suppose the hypotheses hold. Since $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}) \cap U_{S/R} \neq \emptyset$, there is some $\mathfrak{n} \in U_{S/R}$ for which $\mathfrak{n} \supseteq \mathfrak{q}$. Whence

$$\iota_S(\mathfrak{n}) \in \iota_S(U_{S/R}) \overset{\text{(I)}}{=} \iota_{S'}(U_{S'/R}),$$

where (I) holds by Lemma 3.1.5. Thus there is some $\mathfrak{n}' \in U_{S'/R}$ for which

$$\mathfrak{n}' \in \iota_{S'}^{-1}\iota_S(\mathfrak{n}).$$

In particular, $\mathfrak{n}' \cap R = \mathfrak{n} \cap R$ and

$$S'_{\mathfrak{n}'} = R_{\mathfrak{n}' \cap R} = R_{\mathfrak{n} \cap R} = S_{\mathfrak{n}}.$$

Now $\mathfrak{q}S_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is a prime ideal of $S_{\mathfrak{n}}$ since $\mathfrak{q}$ is a prime ideal of $S$. Thus

$$\mathfrak{q}S'_{\mathfrak{n}'} = \mathfrak{q}S_{\mathfrak{n}}$$

is a prime ideal of $S'_{\mathfrak{n}'} = S_{\mathfrak{n}}$. Whence the intersection

$$\mathfrak{q}' := \mathfrak{q}S'_{\mathfrak{n}'} \cap S'$$

is a prime ideal of $S'$ contained in $\mathfrak{n}'$. Therefore

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}') \cap U_{S'/R} \neq \emptyset, \tag{1}$$

that is, $\mathfrak{q}' \in Z_{S'/R}$. Furthermore,

$$\mathfrak{q}' \cap R = \mathfrak{q}S'_{\mathfrak{n}'} \cap S' \cap R = \mathfrak{q}S'_{\mathfrak{n}'} \cap S \cap R = \mathfrak{q}S_{\mathfrak{n}} \cap S \cap R = \mathfrak{q} \cap R.$$

Therefore $\mathfrak{q}' \cap R = \mathfrak{q} \cap R$. Uniqueness of $\mathfrak{q}' \in \operatorname{Spec} S'$ follows from (1) and Lemmas 3.1.3 and 3.1.4.

Finally, the heights of $\mathfrak{q}$ and $\mathfrak{q}'$ coincide:

$$\operatorname{ht}_{S'}(\mathfrak{q}') = \dim S'_{\mathfrak{q}'} \overset{\text{(I)}}{=} \dim R_{\mathfrak{q}' \cap R} = \dim R_{\mathfrak{q} \cap R} \overset{\text{(II)}}{=} \dim S_{\mathfrak{q}} = \operatorname{ht}_S(\mathfrak{q}),$$

where (I) and (II) hold by Lemma 3.1.3. □

**Proposition 3.3.** *Suppose $S$ is a depiction of $R$. If $\mathfrak{q} \in Z_{S/R}$, then*

$$\operatorname{ght}_R(\mathfrak{q} \cap R) = \operatorname{ht}_S(\mathfrak{q}).$$

*Proof.* Follows from Lemma 3.2. □

*Henceforth, we will assume that $R$ is noetherian in codimension 1 unless stated otherwise.* Fix a depiction $S$ of $R$ such that each codimension 1 subvariety $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q})$, $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} S$, intersects $U_{S/R}$. Set

$$T := \bigcap_{\mathfrak{n} \in U_{S/R}} S_{\mathfrak{n}} \overset{\text{(I)}}{=} \bigcap_{\mathfrak{q} \in Z_{S/R}} S_{\mathfrak{q}}.$$

(I) holds since if $\mathfrak{q} \in Z_{S/R}$, then there is some $\mathfrak{n} \in U_{S/R}$ such that $\mathfrak{n} \supseteq \mathfrak{q}$; in particular, $S_{\mathfrak{n}} \subseteq S_{\mathfrak{q}}$.

**Proposition 3.4.** *$T$ contains each depiction of $R$.*

*Proof.* Let $S'$ be any depiction of $R$. Then

$$S' \subseteq \bigcap_{\mathfrak{q}' \in Z_{S'/R}} S'_{\mathfrak{q}'} \overset{\text{(I)}}{=} \bigcap_{\mathfrak{q}' \in Z_{S'/R}} R_{\mathfrak{q}' \cap R} \overset{\text{(II)}}{=} \bigcap_{\mathfrak{q} \in Z_{S/R}} R_{\mathfrak{q} \cap R} \overset{\text{(III)}}{=} \bigcap_{\mathfrak{q} \in Z_{S/R}} S_{\mathfrak{q}} = T.$$

Indeed, (I) and (III) hold by Lemma 3.1.3, and (II) holds by Lemma 3.2. □

**Lemma 3.5.** *$T$ is contained in the normalization $\overline{S}$ of $S$. In particular, $T$ is an integral extension of $S$.*

*Proof.* Since $S$ is a noetherian domain, its normalization $\overline{S}$ is given by [M, Theorem 11.5.ii]

$$\overline{S} = \bigcap_{\substack{\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} S: \\ \operatorname{ht}_S(\mathfrak{q}) = 1}} S_{\mathfrak{q}}.$$

Furthermore, each height 1 prime $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} S$ satisfies $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}) \cap U_{S/R} \neq \emptyset$, by assumption. Therefore $T \subseteq \overline{S}$. □

**Proposition 3.6.** *$T$ is finitely generated as an $S$-module and as a $k$-algebra.*

*Proof.* $S$ is a finitely generated $k$-algebra since $S$ is a depiction of $R$. Thus its normalization $\overline{S}$ is a finitely generated $S$-module by the Noether normalization lemma [E, Corollary 13.13]. Furthermore, $T$ is a submodule of $\overline{S}$ by Lemma 3.5. Thus $T$ is a finitely generated $S$-module since $S$ is noetherian. Therefore $T$ is a finitely generated $k$-algebra. □

**Lemma 3.7.** *The morphism*

$$\iota_{T/S} : \operatorname{Spec} T \to \operatorname{Spec} S, \qquad \mathfrak{t} \mapsto \mathfrak{t} \cap S,$$

*is surjective.*

*Proof.* $T$ is an integral extension of $S$ by Lemma 3.5, and therefore $\iota_{T/S}$ is surjective [M, Theorem 9.3.i].[1] □

[1]Alternatively, $T$ is a finitely generated $S$-module by Proposition 3.6, and $\operatorname{ann}_S T = 0$ since $T$ is an integral domain. Thus for each $\mathfrak{n} \in \operatorname{Max} S$, $\mathfrak{n}T \neq T$ by Nakayama's lemma. We may then apply the argument in Proposition 3.10 below with $S$ in place of $S'$.

**Theorem 3.8.** *$T$ is a depiction of $R$.*

*Proof.* (i) $T$ is a finitely generated $k$-algebra by Proposition 3.6.

(ii) We claim that

$$U_{T/R} = \{\mathfrak{t} \in \operatorname{Max} T \mid R_{\mathfrak{t}\cap R} \text{ is noetherian}\}. \tag{2}$$

Consider $\mathfrak{t} \in \operatorname{Max} T$ for which $R_{\mathfrak{t}\cap R}$ is noetherian. Since $\mathfrak{t} \in \operatorname{Max} T$ and $T$ is a finitely generated $k$-algebra containing $S$, the intersection $\mathfrak{n} := \mathfrak{t} \cap S$ is a maximal ideal of $S$. Furthermore,

$$\mathfrak{t} \cap R = \mathfrak{t} \cap S \cap R = \mathfrak{n} \cap R. \tag{3}$$

Whence $R_{\mathfrak{t}\cap R} = R_{\mathfrak{n}\cap R}$. Thus $R_{\mathfrak{n}\cap R}$ is noetherian since $R_{\mathfrak{t}\cap R}$ is noetherian. Therefore $\mathfrak{n} \in U_{S/R}$ since $S$ is a depiction of $R$. Consequently,

$$R_{\mathfrak{t}\cap R} = R_{\mathfrak{n}\cap R} = S_{\mathfrak{n}}. \tag{4}$$

Furthermore,

$$S_{\mathfrak{n}} = S_{\mathfrak{t}\cap S} \subseteq T_{\mathfrak{t}} = \Big(\bigcap_{\mathfrak{n}'\in U_{S/R}} S_{\mathfrak{n}'}\Big)_{\mathfrak{t}} \subseteq \bigcap_{\mathfrak{n}'\in U_{S/R}} (S_{\mathfrak{n}'})_{\mathfrak{t}\cap S_{\mathfrak{n}'}} \overset{(\mathrm{I})}{\subseteq} (S_{\mathfrak{n}})_{\mathfrak{t}\cap S_{\mathfrak{n}}} = (S_{\mathfrak{t}\cap S})_{\mathfrak{t}\cap (S_{\mathfrak{t}\cap S})} = S_{\mathfrak{t}\cap S}, \tag{5}$$

where (I) holds since $\mathfrak{n} \in U_{S/R}$. Whence $S_{\mathfrak{n}} = T_{\mathfrak{t}}$. Thus together with (4) we obtain

$$R_{\mathfrak{t}\cap R} = S_{\mathfrak{n}} = T_{\mathfrak{t}}.$$

Therefore $\mathfrak{t} \in U_{T/R}$. The converse inclusion ($\subseteq$) in (2) is clear.

(iii) The morphism $\iota_{T/R} : \operatorname{Spec} T \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ is surjective since it factors into surjective maps

$$\operatorname{Spec} T \xrightarrow{\iota_{T/S}} \operatorname{Spec} S \xrightarrow{\iota_{S/R}} \operatorname{Spec} R.$$

Indeed, $\iota_{T/S}$ is surjective by Lemma 3.7, and $\iota_{S/R}$ is surjective since $S$ is a depiction of $R$.

(iv) Finally, we claim that $U_{T/R}$ is nonempty. Since $S$ is a depiction of $R$, there is some $\mathfrak{n} \in U_{S/R}$. By Lemma 3.7, there is some $\mathfrak{t} \in \operatorname{Max} T$ such that $\mathfrak{t} \cap S = \mathfrak{n}$. Thus

$$R_{\mathfrak{t}\cap R} \overset{(\mathrm{I})}{=} R_{\mathfrak{n}\cap R} = S_{\mathfrak{n}} \overset{(\mathrm{II})}{=} T_{\mathfrak{t}},$$

where (I) holds by (3), and (II) holds by (5). Therefore $\mathfrak{t} \in U_{T/R}$. □

**Lemma 3.9.** *If $\mathfrak{t} \in \operatorname{Spec} T$ has height 1, then $\mathfrak{t} \in Z_{T/R}$.*

*Proof.* Suppose $\operatorname{ht}_T(\mathfrak{t}) = 1$.

(i) We first claim that $\operatorname{ht}_S(\mathfrak{t} \cap S) = 1$. Assume to the contrary that

$$\operatorname{ht}_S(\mathfrak{t} \cap S) \geq 2. \tag{6}$$

Consider a maximal chain of prime ideals of $T$ containing $\mathfrak{t}$,

$$\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{t}_1 \subset \mathfrak{t}_2 \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{t}_n,$$

and the induced chain of prime ideals of $S$,

$$\mathfrak{t}_1 \cap S \subseteq \mathfrak{t}_2 \cap S \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathfrak{t}_n \cap S.$$

By Lemma 3.1.1 and Theorem 3.8,

$$\dim S = \dim R = \dim T = n.$$

Thus our assumption (6) implies that there is some $1 \leq i < n$ for which

$$\mathfrak{t}_i \cap S = \mathfrak{t}_{i+1} \cap S. \tag{7}$$

But $T$ is an integral extension of $S$ by Lemma 3.5. Thus there are no inclusions between distinct prime ideals of $T$ lying over a prime ideal of $S$ [M, Theorem 9.3.ii]. In particular, (7) implies

$$\mathfrak{t}_i \not\subset \mathfrak{t}_{i+1},$$

a contradiction.

(ii) Set $\mathfrak{q} := \mathfrak{t} \cap S$. By Claim (i), $\mathrm{ht}_S(\mathfrak{q}) = 1$. Thus $\mathfrak{q} \in Z_{S/R}$ since $S$ is noetherian in codimension 1. But $\mathfrak{t} \cap R = (\mathfrak{t} \cap S) \cap R = \mathfrak{q} \cap R$. Therefore $\mathfrak{t} \in Z_{T/R}$ by Lemma 3.2. □

Suppose $R$ has a unique maximal depiction $T$, but is not noetherian in codimension 1. Then in general $R$ may admit a depiction $S$ for which the morphism

$$\operatorname{Spec} T \to \operatorname{Spec} S, \qquad \mathfrak{t} \mapsto \mathfrak{t} \cap S,$$

is not surjective; see Example 3.16 below. However, if $R$ is noetherian in codimension 1, then we have the following.

**Proposition 3.10.** *Let $S'$ be any depiction of $R$. Then the morphism*

$$\operatorname{Spec} T \to \operatorname{Spec} S', \qquad \mathfrak{t} \mapsto \mathfrak{t} \cap S',$$

*is surjective.*

*Proof.* (i) We first claim that for each $\mathfrak{n} \in \operatorname{Max} S'$, $\mathfrak{n}T \neq T$.

Assume to the contrary that there is some $\mathfrak{n} \in \operatorname{Max} S'$ for which $\mathfrak{n}T = T$. Then there is some $a \in \mathfrak{n}$ such that $a^{-1} \in T$. Let $\mathfrak{t} \in \operatorname{Spec} T$ be a minimal prime containing $a^{-1}$. Then $\mathrm{ht}_T(\mathfrak{t}) = 1$ by Krull's principal ideal theorem. Whence $\mathfrak{t} \in Z_{T/R}$ by Lemma 3.9. Thus $T_{\mathfrak{t}} = R_{\mathfrak{t} \cap R}$ by Lemma 3.1.3. Furthermore, there is some $\mathfrak{q} \in Z_{S'/R}$ such that $\mathfrak{q} \cap R = \mathfrak{t} \cap R$ by Lemma 3.2. Whence

$$T_{\mathfrak{t}} = R_{\mathfrak{t} \cap R} = R_{\mathfrak{q} \cap R} \overset{(\mathrm{I})}{=} S'_{\mathfrak{q}},$$

where (I) holds by Lemma 3.1.3. In particular, $T_{\mathfrak{t}}$ has unique maximal ideal $\mathfrak{t}T_{\mathfrak{t}} = \mathfrak{q}S'_{\mathfrak{q}}$. Therefore $a^{-1} \in \mathfrak{t}$ implies $a^{-1} \in \mathfrak{q}S'_{\mathfrak{q}}$. But then since $a \in \mathfrak{n} \subset S'$,

$$1 = aa^{-1} \in \mathfrak{q}S'_{\mathfrak{q}},$$

a contradiction.

(ii) The morphism $\operatorname{Max} T \to \operatorname{Max} S'$, $\mathfrak{t} \mapsto \mathfrak{t} \cap S'$, is surjective: Let $\mathfrak{n} \in \operatorname{Spec} S'$. Then there is a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{t} \in \operatorname{Max} T$ containing $\mathfrak{n}T$ since $\mathfrak{n}T \neq T$ by Claim (i). Whence

$$\mathfrak{n} \subseteq \mathfrak{n}T \cap S' \subseteq \mathfrak{t} \cap S' \neq S'.$$

Thus $\mathfrak{t} \cap S' = \mathfrak{n}$ since $\mathfrak{n}$ is a maximal ideal.

Now $T$ is a finitely generated $k$-algebra by Proposition 3.6, and $S'$ is a finitely generated $k$-algebra since $S'$ is a depiction. Therefore $\iota_{T/S'}$ is also surjective, by [B3, Lemma 3.6].[2] □

Recall that for any depiction $S$ of $R$ and $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} S$,

$$\operatorname{ght}_R(\mathfrak{q} \cap R) \leq \operatorname{ht}_S(\mathfrak{q}).$$

**Definition 3.11.** We say a depiction $S$ of $R$ is *saturated* if for each $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} S$ minimal over $\mathfrak{q} \cap R$,

$$\operatorname{ght}_R(\mathfrak{q} \cap R) = \operatorname{ht}_S(\mathfrak{q}).$$

**Lemma 3.12.** *If $S$ is a saturated depiction of $R$, then for each $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} S$ minimal over $\mathfrak{q} \cap R$,*

$$\operatorname{gdim}(\mathfrak{q} \cap R) = \dim S/\mathfrak{q}.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\operatorname{gdim}(\mathfrak{q} \cap R) := \dim R - \operatorname{ght}_R(\mathfrak{q} \cap R) \overset{(\mathrm{I})}{=} \dim S - \operatorname{ht}_S(\mathfrak{q}) \overset{(\mathrm{II})}{=} \dim S/\mathfrak{q}.$$

Indeed, (I) holds Lemma 3.1.1, and (II) holds since $S$ is an integral domain and a finitely generated $k$-algebra [S, Proposition III.15]. □

**Theorem 3.13.** *$T$ is saturated.*

*Proof.* Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R$. Then there is a depiction $S'$ of $R$ such that for some $\mathfrak{q}$ in $\iota_{S'/R}^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$, we have

$$\operatorname{ght}_R(\mathfrak{p}) = \operatorname{ht}_{S'}(\mathfrak{q}) =: m.$$

Furthermore, there is a minimal $\mathfrak{t} \in \operatorname{Spec} T$ such that $\mathfrak{t} \cap S' = \mathfrak{q}$, by Proposition 3.10.

Now $U_{T/R}$ is an open dense subset of $\operatorname{Max} T$, by Lemma 3.1.2. Thus we may consider a maximal chain of prime ideals of $T$ contained in $\mathfrak{t}$,

$$0 \subset \mathfrak{t}_1 \subset \mathfrak{t}_2 \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{t}_m = \mathfrak{t},$$

such that $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{t}_{m-1}) \cap U_{T/R} \neq \emptyset$.

Consider the chain of prime ideals of $S'$,

$$0 \subset \mathfrak{t}_1 \cap S' \subseteq \mathfrak{t}_2 \cap S' \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathfrak{t}_m \cap S' = \mathfrak{q}. \tag{8}$$

Assume to the contrary that $\mathfrak{t}_i \cap S' = \mathfrak{t}_{i+1} \cap S'$ for some $1 \leq i < m$. Then

$$\mathfrak{t}_i \cap R = \mathfrak{t}_i \cap S' \cap R = \mathfrak{t}_{i+1} \cap S' \cap R = \mathfrak{t}_{i+1} \cap R.$$

[2]The assumption in [B3, Lemma 3.6] that $k$ is uncountable is not necessary here since $S'$ is a finitely generated $k$-algebra, rather than a countably generated $k$-algebra.

Furthermore, $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{t}_i) \cap U_{T/R} \neq \emptyset$ since $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{t}_{m-1}) \cap U_{T/R} \neq \emptyset$. But then $\mathfrak{t}_i = \mathfrak{t}_{i+1}$ by Lemmas 3.1.3 and 3.1.4, a contradiction. Whence the chain (8) is strict. Therefore

$$\operatorname{ght}_R(\mathfrak{p}) \overset{(\text{I})}{\leq} \operatorname{ht}_T(\mathfrak{t}) \leq \operatorname{ht}_{S'}(\mathfrak{q}) = \operatorname{ght}_R(\mathfrak{p}),$$

where (I) holds since $\mathfrak{t} \cap R = \mathfrak{t} \cap S \cap R = \mathfrak{q} \cap R = \mathfrak{p}$. □

**Proposition 3.14.** *If $S$ and $S'$ are depictions of $R$, then each codimension 1 subvariety of* $\operatorname{Max} S$ *intersects $U_{S/R}$ iff each codimension 1 subvariety of* $\operatorname{Max} S'$ *intersects $U_{S'/R}$. In particular, the definition of 'noetherian in codimension 1' is independent of the choice of depiction $S$.*

*Proof.* Suppose each codimension 1 subvariety of $\operatorname{Max} S$ intersects $U_{S/R}$, and consider a height 1 prime $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} S'$. Set $\mathfrak{p} := \mathfrak{q} \cap R$. By Theorem 3.8, $T$ is a depiction of $R$. Thus there is some $\mathfrak{t} \in \operatorname{Spec} T$ such that $\mathfrak{t} \cap R = \mathfrak{p}$. Therefore

$$1 \overset{(\text{I})}{\leq} \operatorname{ht}_T(\mathfrak{t}) \overset{(\text{II})}{=} \operatorname{ght}_R(\mathfrak{p}) \overset{(\text{III})}{\leq} \operatorname{ht}_{S'}(\mathfrak{q}') = 1,$$

where (I) holds since $\mathfrak{t} \neq 0$; (II) holds by Theorem 3.13; and (III) holds since $\mathfrak{q}' \cap R = \mathfrak{p}$. Whence $\operatorname{ht}_T(\mathfrak{t}) = 1$. Thus $\mathfrak{t} \in Z_{T/R}$ by Lemma 3.9. Therefore there is a unique prime $\mathfrak{q}' \in Z_{S'/R}$ such that $\mathfrak{q}' \cap R = \mathfrak{t} \cap R$, by Lemma 3.2. But

$$\mathfrak{q} \cap R = \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{t} \cap R.$$

Whence $\mathfrak{q}' = \mathfrak{q}$. Therefore $\mathfrak{q} \in Z_{S'/R}$. □

**Theorem 3.15.** *Let $S$ be any depiction of $R$, and let $\overline{S}$ be its normalization. Then*

$$S \subseteq T \subseteq \overline{S}.$$

*In particular, if $S = \overline{S}$ is normal, then $S$ is the unique maximal depiction of $R$, as well as the unique normal depiction of $R$.*

*Proof.* Follows from Lemma 3.5 and Proposition 3.14. □

Let $R$ be a ring which is not noetherian in codimension 1, and suppose $R$ has a unique maximal depiction $T$. In the following two examples we show that $T$ may or may not be saturated. In particular, the first example shows that the assumption that $R$ is noetherian in codimension 1 is necessary in Proposition 3.10 and Theorem 3.13. The moral of this example is that unique maximal depictions are not necessarily the 'right' depictions for some nonnoetherian rings.

**Example 3.16.** We first give an example of a unique maximal depiction which is not saturated. Consider the algebras

$$T := k[x, x^{-1}, y], \qquad S := k[x, y], \qquad R := k + \mathfrak{p},$$

where

$$\mathfrak{p} := x(x-1, y)S.$$

Set

$$\mathfrak{t}_0 := (x-1, y)T \in \operatorname{Max} T.$$

By [B2, Corollary 1.3], $S$ is a depiction of $R$ since $\dim S/\mathfrak{p} = 1$. Thus by Proposition 3.14, $R$ is not noetherian in codimension 1 since $R_{xS\cap R} = R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is not noetherian. Clearly $T$ is also a depiction of $R$, with

$$U_{T/R} = \mathcal{Z}_T(\mathfrak{t}_0)^c. \tag{9}$$

$\operatorname{Max} R$ may therefore be viewed either as the plane $\operatorname{Max} S = \mathbb{A}^2_k$ where the point $\mathcal{Z}_S(x-1, y)$ and line $\mathcal{Z}_S(x)$ are together identified as a single closed point; or as the open subset of the plane

$$\operatorname{Max} T \cong \mathcal{Z}_S(x)^c.$$

From the perspective of $T$, all the closed points of $\operatorname{Max} R$, including $\mathfrak{p}$ itself, appear zero-dimensional.

We claim that $T$ is the unique maximal depiction of $R$. Indeed, let $S'$ be any depiction of $R$. Then

$$S' \subseteq \bigcap_{\mathfrak{q}\in Z_{S'/R}} S'_{\mathfrak{q}} \overset{(\mathrm{I})}{=} \bigcap_{\mathfrak{q}\in Z_{S'/R}} R_{\mathfrak{q}\cap R} \overset{(\mathrm{II})}{=} \bigcap_{\mathfrak{t}\in Z_{T/R}} R_{\mathfrak{t}\cap R} \overset{(\mathrm{III})}{=} \bigcap_{\mathfrak{t}\in Z_{T/R}} T_{\mathfrak{t}}$$
$$\overset{(\mathrm{IV})}{=} \bigcap_{\mathfrak{t}\in \operatorname{Spec} T\setminus\{\mathfrak{t}_0\}} T_{\mathfrak{t}} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{t}\in\operatorname{Spec} T} T_{\mathfrak{t}} = T,$$

where (I) and (III) hold by Lemma 3.1.3; (II) holds by Lemma 3.2; and (IV) holds by (9). Therefore $S'$ is contained in $T$.

We now claim that $T$ is not saturated. Set $\mathfrak{q} = xS$; then

$$\mathfrak{q}\cap R = \mathfrak{t}_0 \cap R = \mathfrak{p}.$$

Furthermore,

$$1 \overset{(\mathrm{I})}{\leq} \operatorname{ght}_R(\mathfrak{p}) \leq \operatorname{ht}_S(\mathfrak{q}) = 1 < 2 = \operatorname{ht}_T(\mathfrak{t}_0),$$

where (I) holds since $\mathfrak{p} \neq 0$.

Let $\mathfrak{t} \in \operatorname{Spec} T \setminus \{\mathfrak{t}_0\}$. Then $\mathfrak{t} \in Z_{T/R}$ by (9). Whence $\mathfrak{t} \in \tilde{U}_{T/R}$ by Lemma 3.1.3. Thus $\mathfrak{t} \notin \iota^{-1}_{T/R}(\mathfrak{p})$ by Lemma 3.1.4. Therefore

$$\iota^{-1}_{T/R}(\mathfrak{p}) = \{\mathfrak{t}_0\}.$$

It follows that there is a prime $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R$ such that for each $\mathfrak{t} \in \iota^{-1}_{T/R}(\mathfrak{p})$,

$$\operatorname{ght}_R(\mathfrak{p}) < \operatorname{ht}_T(\mathfrak{t}).$$

**Example 3.17.** We now give an example of a ring which is not noetherian in codimension 1 with a saturated unique maximal depiction. Consider the algebras

$$T := k[x, y] \quad \text{and} \quad R := k + xS.$$

Then $T$ is the unique maximal depiction of $R$. Furthermore, $R$ is not noetherian in codimension 1 since $R_{xT\cap R}$ is not noetherian. Nevertheless, we claim that $T$ is saturated. Indeed, $U_{T/R} = \mathcal{Z}(xT)^c$ by [B3, Proposition 2.8]. Thus if $\mathfrak{t} \in \operatorname{Spec} T$ is a minimal prime over $\mathfrak{t} \cap R$, then either $\mathfrak{t} \in Z_{T/R}$ or $\mathfrak{t} = xT$. If $\mathfrak{t} \in Z_{T/R}$, then by Proposition 3.3,

$$\operatorname{ght}_R(\mathfrak{t} \cap R) = \operatorname{ht}_T(\mathfrak{t}).$$

So consider the ideal $xT = xT \cap R$. Then

$$1 \leq \operatorname{ght}_R(xT) \leq \operatorname{ht}_T(xT) = 1.$$

Whence

$$\operatorname{ght}_R(xT) = \operatorname{ht}_T(xT).$$

Therefore $T$ is saturated.

## References


[B1] C. Beil, Morita equivalences and Azumaya loci from Higgsing dimer algebras, Journal of Algebra, 453 (2016) 429-455.

[B2] ———, Nonnoetherian coordinate rings with multiple positive dimensional points, arXiv:1607.07778.

[B3] ———, Nonnoetherian geometry, J. Algebra Appl., 15 (2016).

[B4] ———, On the central geometry of dimer algebras, arXiv:1412.1750.

[E] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry*, Springer, 2004.

[M] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1986.

[S] J.-P. Serre, *Local algebra*, Springer, 2000.



Heilbronn Institute for Mathematical Research, School of Mathematics, Howard House, The University of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 1SN, United Kingdom.

*E-mail address*: charlie.beil@bristol.ac.uk